

B210A (Form 210A) (12/09)

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.

Case No. 08-13555 (SCC)

PARTIAL TRANSFER OF CLAIM OTHER THAN FOR SECURITY

A CLAIM HAS BEEN FILED IN THIS CASE or deemed filed under 11 U.S.C. § 1111(a). Transferee hereby gives evidence and notice pursuant to Rule 3001(e)(2), Fed. R. Bankr. P., of the partial transfer, other than for security, of an undivided interest in the claim referenced in this evidence and notice.

CITIGROUP FINANCIAL PRODUCTS INC.

Name of Transferee

Citigroup Financial Products Inc.
390 Greenwich Street, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10013
Attn: Kenneth Keeley
Phone: 212-723-6501

CYRUS SELECT OPPORTUNITIES

MASTER FUND LTD.


Name of Transferor

Court Claim # (if known): See Schedule 1
Amount of Claim Transferred: See Schedule 1
ISIN/CUSIP: See Schedule 1
Blocking Number:
Date Claim Filed: 10/27/2009

Name and address where transferee payments should
be sent (if different from above):

I declare under penalty of perjury that the information provided in this notice is true and correct to
the best of my knowledge and belief.

Citigroup Financial Products Inc.

DocuSigned by:

By: 240CDA98C9F64D3...
Transferee/Transferee's Agent

Date: June 16, 2016

Joelle Gavlick - Authorized Signatory

EXECUTION COPY

AGREEMENT AND EVIDENCE OF TRANSFER OF CLAIM
LEHMAN PROGRAM SECURITY

TO: THE DEBTOR AND THE BANKRUPTCY COURT

1. For value received, the adequacy and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, **Cyrus Select Opportunities Master Fund Ltd.** (the "**Seller**") hereby unconditionally and irrevocably sells, transfers and assigns to **Citigroup Financial Products Inc.** (the "**Purchaser**"), and Purchaser hereby agrees to purchase, as of the date hereof, (a) an undivided interest, to the extent of the amounts specified in Schedule 1 attached hereto (the "**Purchased Claim**"), in Seller's right, title and interest in and to the Proof of Claim specified in Schedule 1 attached hereto filed by or on behalf of Seller's predecessor-in-interest (the "**Proof of Claim**") against Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc., debtor in proceedings for reorganization (the "**Proceedings**") in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "**Court**"), administered under Case No. 08-13555 (SCC) (the "**Debtor**"), (b) all rights and benefits of Seller relating to the Purchased Claim, including without limitation (i) any right to receive cash, securities, instruments, principal, interest, damages, penalties, fees or other property, which may be paid or distributed on or after March 3, 2016 with respect to the Purchased Claim or with respect to any of the documents, agreements, bills and/or other documents (whether now existing or hereafter arising) which evidence, create and/or give rise to or affect in any material way the Purchased Claim, whether under a plan of reorganization or liquidation, pursuant to a liquidation, or otherwise, (ii) any actions, claims (including, without limitation, "claims" as defined in Section 101(5) of Title 11 of the United States Code (the "**Bankruptcy Code**")), rights or lawsuits of any nature whatsoever, whether against the Debtor or any other party, arising out of or in connection with the Purchased Claim, (iii) any rights and benefits arising out of or in connection with any exhibit, attachment and/or supporting documentation relating to the Purchased Claim, and (iv) any and all of Seller's right, title and interest in, to and under the transfer agreements, if any, under which Seller or any prior seller acquired the rights underlying or constituting a part of the Purchased Claim, but only to the extent related to the Purchased Claim, (c) the security or securities (any such security, a "**Purchased Security**") relating to the Purchased Claim and specified in Schedule 1 attached hereto, and (d) any and all proceeds of any of the foregoing (collectively, as described in clauses (a), (b), (c), and (d), the "**Transferred Claim**"). For the avoidance of doubt, Purchaser does not assume and shall not be responsible for any obligations or liabilities of the Seller related to or in connection with the Transferred Claim or the Proceedings.

2. Seller hereby represents and warrants to Purchaser that: (a) the Proof of Claim was duly and timely filed on or before 5:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern Time) on November 2, 2009 in accordance with the Court's order setting the deadline for filing proof of claim in respect of "Lehman Program Securities"; (b) the Proof of Claim relates to one or more securities expressly identified on the list designated "Lehman Programs Securities" available on <http://www.lehman-docket.com> as of July 17, 2009; (c) Seller owns and has good and marketable title to the Transferred Claim, free and clear of any and all liens, claims, set-off rights, security interests, participations, or encumbrances created or incurred by Seller or against Seller; (d) Seller is duly authorized and empowered to execute and perform its obligations under this Agreement and Evidence of Transfer; (e) the Proof of Claim includes the Purchased Claim specified in Schedule 1 attached hereto; (f) Seller has not engaged in any acts, conduct or omissions, or had any relationship with the Debtor or its affiliates, that will result in Purchaser receiving in respect of the Transferred Claim proportionately less payments or distributions or less favorable treatment than other unsecured creditors of the same class and type as the Purchased Claim; (g) to the extent received by Seller from the Debtor or Epiq Bankruptcy Solutions, LLC ("**Epiq**") or Seller's predecessor in interest, Seller has delivered to Purchaser true and correct copies of (I) the Notice of Proposed Allowed Claim Amount (as defined in the Court's Order, dated August 10, 2011, Approving Procedures for Determining the Allowed Amount of Claims Filed Based on Structured Securities Issued or Guaranteed by Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.), which relates to the Proof of Claim (the "**Notice**") and Seller has not received any notice that there have been any revisions, amendments or modifications thereto and no action was undertaken by Seller or, to the best of Seller's knowledge, any of its predecessors in interest with respect to the Notice and (II) distribution notices relating to the second distribution on or about October 1, 2012, the third distribution on or about April 4, 2013, the fourth distribution on or about October 3, 2013, the fifth distribution on or about April 3, 2014, the sixth distribution on or about October 2, 2014, the seventh distribution on or about April 2, 2015, the eighth distribution on or about October 1, 2015 and the ninth distribution on or about March 31, 2016 (the distributions evidenced by such notices, together with the Initial LBHI Distribution, collectively, the "**LBHI Distributions**") (which have not been supplemented, amended or revised) that set forth the distributions paid by the Debtor to Seller and purport to show the distributions paid by the Debtor to Seller's predecessor-in-interest, as applicable, on account of the Transferred Claim; (h) with respect to the Transferred Claim, a predecessor in interest

the Court's decision in *United States v. Galt*, 199 F.3d 1008, 1014 (9th Cir. 2000), which held that the government's failure to disclose exculpatory evidence in a capital case constitutes a violation of the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. The Court in *Galt* found that the government's failure to disclose evidence that the defendant was not the only person who had been in the room with the victim at the time of the murder was a material omission that prejudiced the defendant's trial. The Court in *Galt* also found that the government's failure to disclose evidence that the victim had been in a relationship with the defendant at the time of the murder was a material omission that prejudiced the defendant's trial. The Court in *Galt* therefore held that the government's failure to disclose this evidence constituted a violation of the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment.

The Court in *Galt* also found that the government's failure to disclose evidence that the victim had been in a relationship with the defendant at the time of the murder was a material omission that prejudiced the defendant's trial. The Court in *Galt* therefore held that the government's failure to disclose this evidence constituted a violation of the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. The Court in *Galt* also found that the government's failure to disclose evidence that the victim had been in a relationship with the defendant at the time of the murder was a material omission that prejudiced the defendant's trial. The Court in *Galt* therefore held that the government's failure to disclose this evidence constituted a violation of the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment.

The Court in *Galt* also found that the government's failure to disclose evidence that the victim had been in a relationship with the defendant at the time of the murder was a material omission that prejudiced the defendant's trial. The Court in *Galt* therefore held that the government's failure to disclose this evidence constituted a violation of the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. The Court in *Galt* also found that the government's failure to disclose evidence that the victim had been in a relationship with the defendant at the time of the murder was a material omission that prejudiced the defendant's trial. The Court in *Galt* therefore held that the government's failure to disclose this evidence constituted a violation of the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment.

The Court in *Galt* also found that the government's failure to disclose evidence that the victim had been in a relationship with the defendant at the time of the murder was a material omission that prejudiced the defendant's trial. The Court in *Galt* therefore held that the government's failure to disclose this evidence constituted a violation of the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. The Court in *Galt* also found that the government's failure to disclose evidence that the victim had been in a relationship with the defendant at the time of the murder was a material omission that prejudiced the defendant's trial. The Court in *Galt* therefore held that the government's failure to disclose this evidence constituted a violation of the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment.

has received from Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc., an initial distribution on or about April 17, 2012 (the "Initial LBHI Distribution"); (i) with respect to the Purchased Security, Seller or a predecessor in interest received from Lehman Brothers Treasury Co. B.V. (I) the initial distribution on or about May 8, 2013 (the "Initial LBT Distribution"); (II) the second distribution on or about October 24, 2013 (the "Second LBT Distribution"); (III) the third distribution on or about April 28, 2014 (the "Third LBT Distribution"); (IV) the fourth distribution on or about October 28, 2014 (the "Fourth LBT Distribution"); (V) the fifth distribution on or about April 27, 2015 (the "Fifth LBT Distribution"); and (VI) the sixth distribution on or about October 29, 2015 (the "Sixth LBT Distribution") and, together with the Initial LBT Distribution, the Second LBT Distribution, the Third LBT Distribution, the Fourth LBT Distribution, the Fifth LBT Distribution and the LBHI Distributions, the "Distributions") in the amounts set forth on Schedule 2 attached hereto; (j) other than the Distributions, neither Seller nor, to the best of Seller's knowledge, a predecessor in interest has received any payment or distribution, whether directly or indirectly, on account of the Transferred Claim; and (k) Seller has delivered the appropriate Tax Form and Certification Regarding Status (each as defined in the request letter sent by Epiq) to Epiq with respect to any payments or distributions made in respect of the Claims after March 3, 2016 and payable to the Seller and such payments and distributions are not otherwise subject to backup withholding for underreporting interest and dividends to the U.S. Internal Revenue Service.

3. Seller hereby waives any objection to the transfer of the Transferred Claim to Purchaser on the books and records of the Debtor and the Court, and hereby waives to the fullest extent permitted by law any notice or right to receive notice of a hearing pursuant to Rule 3001(e) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, the Bankruptcy Code, applicable local bankruptcy rules or applicable law, and consents to the substitution of Seller by Purchaser for all purposes in the case, including, without limitation, for voting and distribution purposes with respect to the Transferred Claim. Purchaser agrees to file a notice of transfer with the Court pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 3001(e) including this Agreement and Evidence of Transfer of Claim within ten (10) business days of the date of this Agreement and Evidence of Transfer of Claim. Seller acknowledges and understands, and hereby stipulates, that an order of the Court may be entered without further notice to Seller transferring to Purchaser the Transferred Claim, recognizing Purchaser as the sole owner and holder of the Transferred Claim, and directing that all payments or distributions of money or property in respect of the Transferred Claim be delivered or made to Purchaser.

4. All representations, warranties, covenants and indemnities shall survive the execution, delivery and performance of this Agreement and Evidence of Transfer of Claim and the transactions described herein. Purchaser shall be entitled to transfer its rights hereunder without any notice to or the consent of Seller. Seller hereby agrees to indemnify, defend and hold Purchaser, its successors and assigns and its officers, directors, employees, agents and controlling persons harmless from and against any and all losses, claims, damages, costs, expenses and liabilities, including, without limitation, reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses, which result from Seller's breach of its representations and warranties made herein.

5. Seller shall accept and hold any payments, distributions or proceeds received by Seller in respect of the Transferred Claim (including in connection with any interim distribution or otherwise) for the account and sole benefit of Purchaser and shall promptly (but in any event no later than five (5) business days of receipt in the case of cash and no later than ten (10) business days of receipt in the case of securities) remit any such payments, distributions or proceeds to Purchaser in the same form received, together with (a) all statements received from Debtor and/or Epiq describing such payment or distribution and (b) any endorsements or documents necessary to transfer such property to Purchaser (including, for the avoidance of doubt, any distributions the Seller receives or has received after the trade date of March 3, 2016, as applicable, including the distributions received by Seller from the Debtor on or around March 31, 2016 and June 16, 2016). Seller has transferred, or shall cause to be transferred as soon as practicable after the date hereof, to Purchaser each Purchased Security to such account, via Euroclear or Clearstream (or similar transfer method), as Purchaser may designate in writing to Seller. This Agreement and Evidence of Transfer supplements and does not supersede any confirmation, any other automatically generated documentation or any applicable rules of Euroclear or Clearstream (or similar transfer method) with respect to the purchase and sale of the Purchased Security.

6. Each of Seller and Purchaser agrees to (a) execute and deliver, or cause to be executed and delivered, all such other and further agreements, documents and instruments and (b) take or cause to be taken all such other and further actions as the other party may reasonably request to effectuate the intent and purposes, and carry out the terms, of this Agreement and Evidence of Transfer of Claim, including, without limitation, cooperating to ensure

the Court's decision in *United States v. Galt*, 2015 WL 1111111, 2015-1 (S.D. Cal. Mar. 11, 2015), which held that the government's failure to disclose the existence of a material exculpatory document constituted a Brady violation.

The Court also found that the government's failure to disclose the existence of a material exculpatory document constituted a Brady violation. The Court cited to the government's failure to disclose the existence of a material exculpatory document as a Brady violation.

The Court also found that the government's failure to disclose the existence of a material exculpatory document constituted a Brady violation. The Court cited to the government's failure to disclose the existence of a material exculpatory document as a Brady violation.

The Court also found that the government's failure to disclose the existence of a material exculpatory document constituted a Brady violation. The Court cited to the government's failure to disclose the existence of a material exculpatory document as a Brady violation.

The Court also found that the government's failure to disclose the existence of a material exculpatory document constituted a Brady violation. The Court cited to the government's failure to disclose the existence of a material exculpatory document as a Brady violation.

The Court also found that the government's failure to disclose the existence of a material exculpatory document constituted a Brady violation. The Court cited to the government's failure to disclose the existence of a material exculpatory document as a Brady violation.

The Court also found that the government's failure to disclose the existence of a material exculpatory document constituted a Brady violation. The Court cited to the government's failure to disclose the existence of a material exculpatory document as a Brady violation.

The Court also found that the government's failure to disclose the existence of a material exculpatory document constituted a Brady violation. The Court cited to the government's failure to disclose the existence of a material exculpatory document as a Brady violation.

The Court also found that the government's failure to disclose the existence of a material exculpatory document constituted a Brady violation. The Court cited to the government's failure to disclose the existence of a material exculpatory document as a Brady violation.

The Court also found that the government's failure to disclose the existence of a material exculpatory document constituted a Brady violation. The Court cited to the government's failure to disclose the existence of a material exculpatory document as a Brady violation.

the timely and accurate filing of any amendment to the Proof of Claim.

7. Seller's and Purchaser's rights and obligations hereunder shall be governed by and interpreted and determined in accordance with the laws of the State of New York (without regard to any conflicts of law provision that would require the application of the law of any other jurisdiction). Seller and Purchaser each submit to the jurisdiction of the courts located in the County of New York in the State of New York. Each party hereto consents to service of process by certified mail at its address listed on the signature page below.

(signature page follows)

...the fact that the ...
...the fact that the ...
...the fact that the ...
...the fact that the ...

...the fact that the ...


...the fact that the ...
...the fact that the ...
...the fact that the ...
...the fact that the ...

...the fact that the ...

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this AGREEMENT AND EVIDENCE OF TRANSFER OF CLAIM is executed
this 16 day of June, 2016.

CITIGROUP FINANCIAL PRODUCTS INC.

**CYRUS SELECT OPPORTUNITIES MASTER
FUND LTD.**

DocuSigned by:

240CDA98C9F64D3...

By: _____

Name:

Title: Joelle Gavlick - Authorized Signatory

By: _____

Name:

Title:

Kenneth Keeley
390 Greenwich Street, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10013
Kenneth.keeley@citi.com
Attn: Kenneth Keeley


IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this AGREEMENT AND EVIDENCE OF TRANSFER OF CLAIM is executed
this 16 day of June, 2016.

CITIGROUP FINANCIAL PRODUCTS INC.

**CYRUS SELECT OPPORTUNITIES MASTER
FUND LTD.**

By: Cyrus Capital Partners, L.P., its investment manager

By: _____
Name:
Title:

By: 
Name: Jennifer M. Pulick
Title: Authorized Signatory

Kenneth Keeley
390 Greenwich Street, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10013
Kenneth.keeley@citi.com
Attn: Kenneth Keeley

399 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10022
Attn: snikov@cyruscapital.com

1. The Court finds that the
2. Plaintiff has established that the
3. Defendant's actions were
4. negligent and that the
5. Plaintiff has suffered
6. damages as a result of the
7. Defendant's actions.

8. The Court finds that the
9. Plaintiff has established that the
10. Defendant's actions were
11. negligent and that the
12. Plaintiff has suffered
13. damages as a result of the
14. Defendant's actions.

15. The Court finds that the
16. Plaintiff has established that the
17. Defendant's actions were
18. negligent and that the
19. Plaintiff has suffered
20. damages as a result of the
21. Defendant's actions.

22. The Court finds that the
23. Plaintiff has established that the
24. Defendant's actions were
25. negligent and that the
26. Plaintiff has suffered
27. damages as a result of the
28. Defendant's actions.

29. The Court finds that the
30. Plaintiff has established that the
31. Defendant's actions were
32. negligent and that the
33. Plaintiff has suffered
34. damages as a result of the
35. Defendant's actions.

36. The Court finds that the
37. Plaintiff has established that the
38. Defendant's actions were
39. negligent and that the
40. Plaintiff has suffered
41. damages as a result of the
42. Defendant's actions.

43. The Court finds that the
44. Plaintiff has established that the
45. Defendant's actions were
46. negligent and that the
47. Plaintiff has suffered
48. damages as a result of the
49. Defendant's actions.

50. The Court finds that the
51. Plaintiff has established that the
52. Defendant's actions were
53. negligent and that the
54. Plaintiff has suffered
55. damages as a result of the
56. Defendant's actions.

57. The Court finds that the
58. Plaintiff has established that the
59. Defendant's actions were
60. negligent and that the
61. Plaintiff has suffered
62. damages as a result of the
63. Defendant's actions.

Schedule 1

Transferred Claim

Lehman Programs Securities to which Transfer Relates

| ISIN/CUSIP | Issuer | Guarantor | Principal/Notional Amount | Proof of Claim | Allowed Amount of Claim Transferred Hereunder |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| XS0334171872 | Lehman Brothers Treasury Co. B.V. | Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. | AUD3,003,000 | 62783 | 2,431,503.67 |
| XS0334172094 | Lehman Brothers Treasury Co. B.V. | Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. | AUD1,547,000 | 62783 | 1,252,592.80 |

Schedule 2

Distributions

| ISIN/CUSIP | Initial LBT Distribution | Second LBT Distribution | Third LBT Distribution | Fourth LBT Distribution | Fifth LBT Distribution | Sixth LBT Distribution |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| XS0334171872 | AUD 225,655.56 | AUD 98,640.98 | AUD 110,205.33 | AUD 89,996.58 | AUD 68,753.99 | AUD 56,738.73 |
| XS0334172094 | AUD 116,089.20 | AUD 50,746.16 | AUD 56,695.47 | AUD 46,299.02 | AUD 35,370.70 | AUD 29,189.41 |

